<u>Paper Reports Home</u> > <u>Search Courses by Department</u> > <u>Report Summary</u> > Means vs.

Percents

Fall 2018

ECON385 001 (International Economic Policy)

Instructor: Fegley, Tate Students Enrolled: 44

Meeting in PLANET 224 on MWF from 2018-08-27 00:00:00.0 to 2018-12-19 00:00:00.0

Print

Means vs. Percents	1=Strongly Disagree	5=Strongly A	gree	NA=Not	Applicable
Question	Area	Mean Median S.D			#Resp.
1. Course requirements and expectations were clear	Class	4.62	5.0	0.67	21
	Dept: Economics	4.58	5.0	0.82	1,723
	Div: Humanities & Social Sciences	4.65	5.0	0.71	23,007
	University	4.58	5.0	0.79	88,116
2. The course was well organized	Class	4.37	5.0	0.83	19
	Dept: Economics	4.47	5.0	0.90	1,712
	Div: Humanities & Social Sciences	4.57	5.0	0.80	22,921
	University	4.49	5.0	0.88	87,794
3. The instructor helped me to better understand the course material	Class	4.21	5.0	1.03	19
	Dept: Economics	4.36	5.0	1.02	1,713
	Div: Humanities & Social Sciences	4.54	5.0	0.83	22,999
	University	4.45	5.0	0.92	88,121
4. Feedback (comments and suggestions written on papers, solutions provided, class discussion, etc.) was helpful	^S Class	4.68	5.0	0.58	19
	Dept: Economics	4.20	5.0	1.13	1,709
	Div: Humanities & Social Sciences	4.48	5.0	0.90	22,942
	University	4.36	5.0	1.00	87,737
5. The instructor showed respect for the students	Class	4.90	5.0	0.31	20
	Dept: Economics	4.74	5.0	0.66	1,712
	Div: Humanities & Social Sciences	4.82	5.0	0.55	22,931
	University	4.77	5.0	0.63	87,827
6. The instructor was accessible either in person or electronically	Class	4.75	5.0	0.64	20
	Dept: Economics	4.62	5.0	0.80	1,709
	Div: Humanities & Social Sciences	4.73	5.0	0.65	22,859
	University	4.65	- 0	0.70	87,538

20/20	19 George Mason University - Means in Stud	lent Ratings of Instruction				
7.	The course grading policy was clear	Class	4.57	5.0	0.68	21
		Dept: Economics	4.60	5.0	0.80	1,721
		Div: Humanities & Social Sciences	4.61	5.0	0.78	22,96
		University	4.56	5.0	0.84	88,005
8.	Graded work reflected what was covered in the course	Class	4.60	5.0	0.68	20
		Dept: Economics	4.56	5.0	0.83	1,698
		Div: Humanities & Social Sciences	4.67	5.0	0.72	22,817
		University	4.59	5.0	0.80	87,426
9. mate	The assignments (projects, papers, presentations, etc.) helped me learn the erial	Class	4.14	4.0	0.85	21
		Dept: Economics	4.33	5.0	1.04	1,707
		Div: Humanities & Social Sciences	4.47	5.0	0.90	22,796
		University	4.43	5.0	0.94	87,306
10. The textbook and/or assigned	The textbook and/or assigned readings helped me understand the material	Class	4.24	4.0	0.94	21
		Dept: Economics	4.27	5.0	1.07	1,70
		Div: Humanities & Social Sciences	4.37	5.0	0.99	22,72
		University	4.28	5.0	1.06	87,032
11.	Assignments and exams were returned in a reasonable amount of time	Class	4.80	5.0	0.41	20
		Dept: Economics	4.73	5.0	0.65	1,700
		Div: Humanities & Social Sciences	4.60	5.0	0.80	22,778
		University	4.55	5.0	0.86	87,227
12. sylla	The instructor covered the important aspects of the course as outlined in the abus	Class	4.71	5.0	0.56	21
		Dept: Economics	4.66	5.0	0.71	1,725
		Div: Humanities & Social Sciences	4.73	5.0	0.63	22,948
		University	4.66	5.0	0.70	87,905
13.	The instructor made the class intellectually stimulating	Class	3.95	4.0	1.19	20
		Dept: Economics	4.41	5.0	1.01	1,724
		Div: Humanities & Social Sciences	4.51	5.0	0.90	22,900
		University	4.41	5.0	0.99	87,666
	The instructor encouraged the students to be actively involved in the material ugh discussion, assignments, and other activities	Class	3.86	4.0	1.24	21
		Dept: Economics	4.27	5.0	1.12	1,710
		Div: Humanities & Social Sciences	4.60	5.0	0.80	22,822

	•	University	4.51	5.0	0.90	87,445
15. My overall rating	g of the teaching	Class	4.10	4.0	1.02	20
		Dept: Economics	4.37	5.0	1.00	1,702
		Div: Humanities & Social Sciences	4.55	5.0	0.82	22,660
		University	4.45	5.0	0.91	86,809
16. My overall rating	g of this course	Class	4.14	4.0	1.01	21
		Dept: Economics	4.20	5.0	1.06	1,695
		Div: Humanities & Social Sciences	4.34	5.0	0.95	22,523
		University	4.24	5.0	1.01	86,145

<u>Paper Reports Home</u> > <u>Search Courses by Department</u> > <u>Report Summary</u> >

Means vs. Percents

Fall 2018

ECON385 001 (International Economic Policy)

Instructor: Fegley, Tate Students Enrolled: 44

Meeting in PLANET 224 on MWF from 2018-08-27 00:00:00.0 to 2018-12-19 00:00:00.0

Print

Percents vs. Means	1=Stro	ongly Disagr	ree 5	5=Strongly	Agree	NA=Not	Applicable
Question	Area		Rate as 2	Rate as 3	Rate as 4	Rate as 5	Rate as NA
1. Course requirements and expectations were clear	Class	0.0% 0	0.0%	9.5%	19.0%	71.4%	0.0%
	Dept: Economics	1.1% 2	2.6%	6.8%	16.5%	73.0%	0.1%
	Div: Humanities & Social Sciences	0.7% 1	.4%	5.5%	16.9%	75.4%	0.0%
	University	1.1% 2	2.0%	6.5%	18.6%	71.7%	0.1%
2. The course was well organized	Class	0.0% 0	0.0%	21.1%	21.1%	57.9%	0.0%
	Dept: Economics	1.4% 3	3.6%	8.9%	18.9%	67.1%	0.1%
	Div: Humanities & Social Sciences	1.0% 2	2.2%	6.9%	18.0%	71.7%	0.0%
	University	1.5% 2	2.9%	8.1%	19.6%	67.8%	0.0%
3. The instructor helped me to better understand the course material	Class	0.0% 5	5.3%	26.3%	10.5%	57.9%	0.0%
•	Dept: Economics	2.3% 5	5.4%	10.6%	17.3%	64.1%	0.2%
	Div: Humanities & Social Sciences	1.2% 2	2.6%	7.4%	18.4%	70.3%	0.2%
	University	1.9% 3	3.3%	9.0%	19.5%	66.1%	0.2%
4. Feedback (comments and suggestions written on papers, solutions provided, class discussion, etc.) was helpful	Class	0.0% 0).0%	5.3%	21.1%	73.7%	0.0%
	Dept: Economics	3.9% 5	5.8%	12.8%	17.8%	55.2%	4.6%
	Div: Humanities & Social Sciences	1.6% 2	2.9%	8.8%	18.1%	66.3%	2.4%
	University	2.4% 4	1.2%	10.5%	19.1%	60.5%	3.3%
5. The instructor showed respect for the students	Class	0.0% 0).0%	0.0%	10.0%	90.0%	0.0%
	Dept: Economics	0.7% 1	.2%	4.3%	11.0%	82.7%	0.1%
	Div: Humanities & Social Sciences	0.5% 0).7%	2.4%	8.9%	87.5%	0.0%
	University	0.8% 1	1.1%	3.2%	10.6%	84.3%	0.1%
6. The instructor was accessible either in person or electronically	Class	0.0% 0	0.0%	10.0%	5.0%	85.0%	0.0%
	Dept: Economics	1.2% 1	.9%	6.3%	14.0%	74.8%	1.8%
	Div: Humanities & Social Sciences	0.7% 1	.1%	4.1%	12.4%	81.0%	0.8%
	University	0.8% 1	.5%	5.1%	14.2%	77.2%	1.1%

20/2019 George Mason University - I	Percents in Student Rati	ngs of I	nstructio	on		
7. The course grading policy was clear	Class	0.0%	0.0%	9.5%	23.8% 66.7%	0.0%
	Dept: Economics	1.3%	1.7%	6.4%	16.6% 73.8%	0.1%
	Div: Humanities & Social Sciences	1.0%	2.0%	6.1%	16.2% 74.5%	0.2%
	University	1.4%	2.4%	6.9%	17.3% 71.5%	0.4%
Graded work reflected what was covered in the course	Class	0.0%	0.0%	10.0%	20.0% 70.0%	0.0%
	Dept: Economics	1.4%	2.0%	8.0%	16.1% 72.2%	0.4%
	Div: Humanities & Social Sciences	0.8%	1.5%	5.2%	15.1% 76.7%	0.6%
	University	1.2%	2.1%	6.3%	16.8% 71.7%	1.9%
9. The assignments (projects, papers, presentations, etc.) helped me learn the material	Class	0.0%	4.8%	14.3%	42.9% 38.1%	0.0%
	Dept: Economics	3.2%	4.1%	9.5%	19.6% 58.9%	4.7%
	Div: Humanities & Social Sciences	1.7%	2.9%	8.3%	19.6% 65.9%	1.6%
	University	2.0%	3.4%	8.9%	19.6% 63.6%	2.5%
10. The textbook and/or assigned readings helped me understand the material	Class	0.0%	9.5%	4.8%	38.1% 47.6%	0.0%
	Dept: Economics	3.6%	4.3%	12.1%	19.6% 58.4%	2.1%
	Div: Humanities & Social Sciences	2.5%	3.7%	10.3%	19.5% 61.0%	3.1%
	University	3.2%	4.3%	11.2%	19.2% 55.7%	6.3%
11. Assignments and exams were returned in a reasonable amount of time	Class	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	20.0% 80.0%	0.0%
	Dept: Economics	0.8%	0.9%	3.8%	13.2% 80.4%	0.9%
	Div: Humanities & Social Sciences	1.3%	1.9%	6.0%	16.2% 73.2%	1.4%
	University	1.7%	2.3%	6.6%	17.0% 69.0%	3.3%
12. The instructor covered the important aspects of the course as outlined in the syllabus	Class	0.0%	0.0%	4.8%	19.0% 76.2%	0.0%
	Dept: Economics	0.5%	1.6%	5.7%	15.5% 76.6%	0.1%
	Div: Humanities & Social Sciences	0.5%	0.9%	4.0%	14.5% 79.9%	0.1%
	University	0.7%	1.3%	5.1%	16.4% 76.2%	0.3%
13. The instructor made the class intellectually stimulating	Class	5.0%	10.0%	10.0%	35.0% 40.0%	0.0%
	Dept: Economics	2.8%	4.2%	9.0%	17.2% 66.6%	0.2%
	Div: Humanities & Social Sciences	1.9%	2.8%	8.1%	17.0% 70.0%	0.2%
	University	2.6%	3.7%	9.5%	18.4% 65.6%	0.2%
14. The instructor encouraged the students to be actively involved in the material through discussion, assignments, and other activities	Class	4.8%	14.3%	9.5%	33.3% 38.1%	0.0%
5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	Dept: Economics	4.1%	5.3%	11.5%	16.7% 60.4%	1.9%

/20/2019	George Mason University - Percents in Student Ratings of Instruction						
	Div: Humanities & Social Sciences	1.2% 2.2% 6.4% 15.2% 74.6	% 0.4%				
	University	1.8% 3.0% 8.1% 16.9% 69.7	% 0.6%				
15. My overall rating of the teaching	Class	0.0% 10.0% 15.0% 30.0% 45.0	% 0.0%				
	Dept: Economics	2.8% 3.5% 11.0% 19.7% 62.9	% 0.0%				
	Div: Humanities & Social Sciences	1.3% 1.8% 7.9% 18.6% 70.3	% 0.0%				
	University	1.9% 2.6% 10.0% 19.8% 65.7	% 0.0%				
16. My overall rating of this course	Class	0.0% 9.5% 14.3% 28.6% 47.6	% 0.0%				
	Dept: Economics	3.3% 4.7% 14.9% 23.1% 54.19	% 0.0%				
	Div: Humanities & Social Sciences	2.0% 3.2% 12.3% 23.6% 58.9	% 0.0%				
	University	2.7% 3.9% 14.4% 24.4% 54.5	% 0.0%				